

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,210	02/12/2002	Ib R. Odderson	ODDS 104	7049	
7.	590 03/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Dean A. Craine			SANTOS, ROBERT G		
DEAN A. CRA	INE, P.S.				
Suite 140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
400 112th Avenue NE			3673		
Bellevue, WA 98004-5542			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			411
Ŷ.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	111/6
	10/075,210	ODDERSON, IB R.	VIO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert G. Santos	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	January 2004.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·	erits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, ,	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• •	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - 1) In claim 1, lines 6 & 9; claim 2, line 2; and in claim 3, lines 6 & 8: The term "means" should be deleted.
 - In claim 3, line 8: The term "pumps" should be changed to --pump--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castagna '223 in view of Armstrong '817. Castagna '223 does not specifically disclose the use of a timer connected to the pump and valve. Armstrong '817 provides the basic teaching of a body supporting device (10) including a plurality of transversely aligned, inflatable air bladders (16a, 16b); an air pump (22) and a valve (34, 36, 38) connected to the air bladders; and a timer (72) connected to the pump and the valve. The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the body supporting, serial inflating seat of Castagna

Art Unit: 3673

'223 with a timer connected to the pump and valve in order to provide an alternate conventional means for ensuring sequential inflation and deflation of the seat as desired.

- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yonekawa et al. '533 in view of Hoshino et al. '982. Yonekawa et al. '533 disclose all of the limitations as recited in claims 1-7 (see Figures 1-4, 6, & 7; column 4, lines 1-44; and column 5, lines 8-37) except for the use of a timer connected to the pump and valve. Hoshino et al. '982 provide the basic teaching of a body supporting device (30) including a plurality of inflatable air bladders (34-39, 41, & 42); an air pump (51) and a valve (54) connected to the air bladders; and a timer (70b-70i) connected to the pump and the valve. The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the body supporting, serial inflating seat of Yonekawa et al. '533 with a timer connected to the pump and valve in order to provide an additional means for ensuring sequential inflation and deflation of the seat as desired.
- Claims 3, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castagna '223 in view of Armstrong '817, and further in view of Jensen '506. Castagna '223, as modified by Armstrong '817, does not specifically disclose a condition wherein each inflatable air bladder is divided into a pair of bladders each extending transversely over one-half of a seat and under one leg of a user when sitting on the seat. Jensen '506 provides the basic teaching of a body supporting seat (10) comprising a plurality of transversely aligned, inflatable air bladders each divided into a pair of bladders (24) such that each bladder extends transversely over one-half of a seat and under one leg of a user when sitting on the seat. The skilled artisan would have

Art Unit: 3673

found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the body supporting, serial inflating seat of Castagna '223, as modified by Armstrong '817, with two sets of three transversely aligned, inflatable air bladders in order to permit greater adjustability according to the needs or preferences of a user, thereby providing enhanced user comfort.

Response to Amendment

In response to Applicant's arguments on page 7 of his amendment regarding the Smith et al. '939 reference, the examiner respectfully agrees. Hence, the prior art rejections under Smith et al. '939 have been respectfully withdrawn.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Daniels et al. '059, Kohlman '405, Clapper '080, Thomas et al. '450, Rowley et al. '263, Murphy '159, Hannagan '238, Murphy '184, Yamanaka et al. '082, Yamanaka et al. '618, Katoh et al. '409, Nagashima et al. '765, Kishi et al. '685, Kishi et al. '704, Kishi et al. '708, Zarotti '684, Cawley '118, Burgin et al. '786, Morrell '732, Poor '671, Wierwille '941, Forsyth '302, and Jensen '510.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/075,210

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert G. Santos
Primary D Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673

R.S.

March 5, 2004